

Communication from Public

Name: Lee Blumenfeld

Date Submitted: 04/07/2021 09:44 PM

Council File No: 09-0969-S3

Comments for Public Posting: Hello Members, While I understand the CAOs position in full cost recovery, In this particular case it should NOT be applied. I support Planning's proposed non-applicant initiated appeal fee increase to \$158. In recent events, such as the Policy and Procedures Ordinance and others, notification timetables, required perimeter outreach, and other items have seemed to favor less engagement with the community in favor of expediting projects. With this added fee of \$16,097, the equivalent cost of a car purchase, it favors those with very high disposal incomes to assert there rights or disagreements with an override requested by an applicant. One should not have to choose between enjoying their homes and protecting against nuisances versus paying electricity/water for the year when thinking about filing an appeal. I sincerely hope you realize the fundamental silencing of dissent in the form of economic barriers to rightful exercises of our City's democratic processes.